



Head and Neck Cancers Data Quality Report

Radiotherapy and Chemotherapy Data





This report has been compiled by

• Jinan Ridha, Analyst, Oxford Cancer Intelligence Unit (OCIU)

With acknowledgements

• Mr Richard Wight, Chair - Head and Neck Cancers Site Specific Clinical Reference Group

- Dr Monica Roche, Medical Director, OCIU
- Andrew Hughes, Principal Analyst, Solutions for Public Health
- Sandra Edwards, Senior Analyst, OCIU

Comparison of radiotherapy and chemotherapy data in the National Head and Neck Cancer Audit (DAHNO), Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) and the National Cancer Data Repository (NCDR)

Background

Cancer registries aim to record basic details of all radiotherapy and chemotherapy treatments delivered within six months of diagnosis and this information is available within the National Cancer Data Repository (NCDR).

Both the National Head and Neck Cancer Audit and the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) dataset also aim to record CT and RT for head & neck cancers. In order to determine the completeness and quality of recording of radiotherapy and chemotherapy treatment in these datasets, both datasets were linked to the NCDR to determine agreement.

The DAHNO (Data for Head and Neck Oncology) system, which supports the National Head and Neck Cancer Audit, began a phased roll out and started receiving cases in 2004 on larynx and oral cavity cancers. Initially restricted to English cancer networks and subsequently eligible to Wales, all cancer networks in England and Wales now submit data to the audit, but not all eligible networks and trusts participated in the timeframe studied. Some organisations submitted a broader range of tumour site groups (in addition to larynx and oral cavity) at inception whilst others have retrospectively populated the DAHNO database in these site group areas. Formal national collection on pharynx and major salivary gland cancer began in 2008.

Methodology

The current analysis was undertaken for the registration years 2004 to 2006, as data for these were the latest three years for which all three datasets were available. In order to make relevant comparisons, data were split by the cancer groups recorded in the DAHNO dataset: hypopharynx, larynx, major salivary glands, nasopharynx, oral cavity, oropharynx *(see Appendix 1)*. In order to compare the NCDR with the HES dataset, data were extracted using OPCS4 codes for chemotherapy treatment (*see Appendix 2*).

Where possible data were also analysed for each of the eight English registries: NYCRIS, Trent, ECRIC, Thames, OCIU, WMCIU, SWCIS and NWCIS.

All datasets were analysed using Microsoft Access and Excel 2007.

The analysis method was undertaken in two stages:

Stage 1: The first stage was to produce a baseline comparison of radiotherapy and chemotherapy treatments for the different cancer sites within the NCDR and the DAHNO audit dataset. Data were extracted from the NCDR dataset if RT and CT flags were coded (i.e. Y/N), and DAHNO data was extracted by chemotherapy and radiotherapy date. For NCDR, selected

records were taken for tumours diagnosed from 2004 to 2006. For DAHNO, selected records were taken for all patients diagnosed from 2004 to 2006.

Stage 2: The second stage of the analysis was undertaken to determine the quality of Chemotherapy recording in HES compared to the NCDR. Analysis was carried out by linking NCDR and HES patient data using the NHS number. For NCDR, records were selected for tumours diagnosed from 2004 to 2006. Of this dataset, records were selected for each head and neck group for each year with and without chemotherapy flag where there is an NHS number, and with a diagnosis date. For HES, finished consultant episodes were selected for the years 2004 to 2007. Chemotherapy treatments within six months of diagnosis within the HES dataset were identified by using the diagnosis date from the NCDR and episode start date from HES. The final linked dataset was created by selecting all the patients in HES with a confirmed diagnosis in NCDR and a chemotherapy treatment record in HES within six months from diagnosis.

Results

Analyses were carried out by head and neck cancer type and year. Data were also split by Cancer Registry, though many of the sub-national results provided numbers that were too small to present meaningful comparison of the cancer types for the DAHNO dataset. The results shown are England totals.

Head and Neck Cancer Data Quality Report: Radiotherapy and Chemotherapy Data

Stage 1: Summary analysis of NCDR and DAHNO for chemotherapy and radiotherapy treatments

Chemotherapy and radiotherapy were analysed separately using the flags (i.e. Y/N~*including not known*~) for NCDR data and the recording of a treatment date in DAHNO. The NCDR flags show treatment given within six months of diagnosis.

The method extracted 18,308 records from NCDR for the years 2004-2006. After allocating a cancer registry using the patient postcode, 18,263 records remained. The results based on 99.8% of the data extracted.

The DAHNO dataset was grouped by head and neck type for the years 2004-2006, providing 4,687 records. When allocating patient records to the registries, 4,221 records remained, 90.1% of the original data.

Table 1 shows the number of chemotherapy treatments in both databases, and the percentage.

| Cancer type | Diag | Data from | NCDR | | | Data from DAHNO | | | |
|-----------------------|------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | YEAR | CT flag = | No CT | Total | % CT flag | CT flag | No CT | Total | % CT flag |
| | | Y | flag | | = Y | | flag | | |
| Larynx | 2004 | 119 | 1634 | 1753 | 7% | 23 | 294 | 317 | 7% |
| | 2005 | 139 | 1638 | 1777 | 8% | 30 | 496 | 526 | 6% |
| | 2006 | 149 | 1628 | 1777 | 8% | 59 | 758 | 817 | 7% |
| Oral cavity | 2004 | 134 | 1799 | 1933 | 7% | 15 | 315 | 330 | 5% |
| | 2005 | 161 | 1777 | 1938 | 8% | 27 | 503 | 530 | 5% |
| | 2006 | 171 | 1920 | 2091 | 8% | 36 | 808 | 844 | 4% |
| Oropharynx | 2004 | 252 | 918 | 1170 | 22% | 37 | 107 | 144 | 26% |
| | 2005 | 330 | 961 | 1291 | 26% | 67 | 129 | 196 | 34% |
| | 2006 | 363 | 1061 | 1424 | 25% | 50 | 161 | 211 | 24% |
| Hypopharynx | 2004 | 67 | 292 | 359 | 19% | 9 | 28 | 37 | 24% |
| | 2005 | 52 | 279 | 331 | 16% | 8 | 43 | 51 | 16% |
| | 2006 | 72 | 327 | 399 | 18% | 14 | 52 | 66 | 21% |
| Nasopharynx | 2004 | 80 | 121 | 201 | 40% | 2 | 12 | 14 | 14% |
| | 2005 | 85 | 139 | 224 | 38% | 10 | 7 | 17 | 59% |
| | 2006 | 82 | 140 | 222 | 37% | 6 | 12 | 18 | 33% |
| Major salivary glands | 2004 | 14 | 433 | 447 | 3% | 0 | 23 | 23 | 0% |
| | 2005 | 16 | 427 | 443 | 4% | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0% |
| | 2006 | 20 | 463 | 483 | 4% | 2 | 42 | 44 | 5% |

Table 1: Recording of NCDR and DAHNO for chemotherapy flags, 2004-2006

The time period covered by this analysis relates to the early years of the DAHNO audit when the completeness of ascertainment of cases was much lower than in more recent years. Although the overall numbers of patients within the DAHNO dataset is lower, the proportion of total patients having chemotherapy within each of the tumour groups is similar to the NCDR dataset when looking at the latest comparable year – 2006. One notable difference was in Oral Cavity tumours, where the recording of chemotherapy appears to be lower in DAHNO than seen in the NCDR dataset. By comparing the confidence limits¹ around the proportions of both datasets, the

¹ APHO Technical Briefing 3: Commonly Used Public Health Statistics and their Confidence Intervals, APHO, 2009

proportion of chemotherapy recording for Oral Cavity between the two datasets is significantly different at the 95% level.

Table 2 shows the number of radiotherapy treatments in both databases, and the percentage.

| Cancer type | Diag | Data from | NCDR | | | Data from DAHNO | | | |
|-----------------------|------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | YEAR | RT flag | No RT | Total | % RT flag | RT flag | No RT | Total | % RT flag |
| | | | flag | | | | flag | | |
| Larynx | 2004 | 746 | 1007 | 1753 | 43% | 98 | 219 | 317 | 31% |
| | 2005 | 773 | 1004 | 1777 | 44% | 180 | 346 | 526 | 34% |
| | 2006 | 710 | 1067 | 1777 | 40% | 304 | 513 | 817 | 37% |
| Oral cavity | 2004 | 500 | 1433 | 1933 | 26% | 51 | 279 | 330 | 15% |
| | 2005 | 516 | 1422 | 1938 | 27% | 69 | 461 | 530 | 13% |
| | 2006 | 474 | 1617 | 2091 | 23% | 122 | 722 | 844 | 14% |
| Oropharynx | 2004 | 510 | 660 | 1170 | 44% | 62 | 82 | 144 | 43% |
| | 2005 | 593 | 698 | 1291 | 46% | 82 | 114 | 196 | 42% |
| | 2006 | 613 | 811 | 1424 | 43% | 76 | 135 | 211 | 36% |
| Hypopharynx | 2004 | 149 | 210 | 359 | 42% | 15 | 22 | 37 | 41% |
| | 2005 | 112 | 219 | 331 | 34% | 15 | 36 | 51 | 29% |
| | 2006 | 140 | 259 | 399 | 35% | 26 | 40 | 66 | 39% |
| Nasopharynx | 2004 | 103 | 98 | 201 | 51% | 5 | 9 | 14 | 36% |
| | 2005 | 98 | 126 | 224 | 44% | 8 | 9 | 17 | 47% |
| | 2006 | 96 | 126 | 222 | 43% | 8 | 10 | 18 | 44% |
| Major salivary glands | 2004 | 163 | 284 | 447 | 36% | 7 | 16 | 23 | 30% |
| | 2005 | 148 | 295 | 443 | 33% | 4 | 32 | 36 | 11% |
| | 2006 | 172 | 311 | 483 | 36% | 15 | 29 | 44 | 34% |

Table 2: Analysis of NCDR and DAHNO separately for radiotherapy

Although the overall numbers of patients within the DAHNO dataset is lower, the proportion of total patients having radiotherapy for each of the tumour types is similar to the NCDR dataset when looking at the latest comparable year – 2006. As with chemotherapy, Oral Cavity tumours was the one notable difference, where the recording of radiotherapy treatments appears to be lower than seen in the NCDR dataset. By comparing the confidence limits² around the proportions of both datasets, the proportion of RT recording for Oral Cavity between the two datasets is significantly different at the 95% level.

² APHO Technical Briefing 3: Commonly Used Public Health Statistics and their Confidence Intervals, APHO, 2009

Head and Neck Cancer Data Quality Report: Radiotherapy and Chemotherapy Data

Stage 2: Analysis of linked records between NCDR and HES for chemotherapy treatment within six months of diagnosis

The HES data were linked to NCDR data using the NHS number as an identifier, and patients having chemotherapy within six months of diagnosis were identifed. Radiotherapy data are not normally recorded on inpatient HES as radiotherapy is an outpatient procedure and HES outpatient procedure recording is highly unreliable.

Data were extracted from the NCDR for patients diagnosed in the years 2004-2006 and categorised by the head & neck tumour groups. A total of 22,804 records were extracted with an NHS number.

HES finished consultant episodes were extracted for the years 2004 to 2007. From this group, 18,516 had a chemotherapy treatment recorded (according to codes in Appendix 2) within 6 months. Of this number, 17,828 had an NHS number (96.3%).

| Cancer Type | Diag | Data from | NCDR | HES (6 mnths | | | |
|-----------------------|------|-----------|---------|-------------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | | (with NHS | number) | treatment) | | | |
| | | | | (with NHS number) | | | |
| | YEAR | CT flag | No CT | Total | % CT flag | CT treat | % CT |
| | | _ | flag | | - | | treat of |
| | | | | | | | NCDR |
| Larynx | 2004 | 119 | 1625 | 1744 | 7% | 110 | 6% |
| | 2005 | 134 | 1626 | 1760 | 8% | 103 | 6% |
| | 2006 | 147 | 1612 | 1759 | 8% | 146 | 8% |
| Oral cavity | 2004 | 134 | 1775 | 1909 | 7% | 74 | 4% |
| | 2005 | 160 | 1751 | 1911 | 8% | 113 | 6% |
| | 2006 | 169 | 1905 | 2074 | 8% | 145 | 7% |
| Oropharynx | 2004 | 252 | 912 | 1164 | 22% | 216 | 19% |
| | 2005 | 326 | 956 | 1282 | 25% | 262 | 20% |
| | 2006 | 361 | 1055 | 1416 | 25% | 406 | 29% |
| Hypopharynx | 2004 | 67 | 292 | 359 | 19% | 49 | 14% |
| | 2005 | 51 | 278 | 329 | 16% | 52 | 16% |
| | 2006 | 72 | 326 | 398 | 18% | 84 | 21% |
| Nasopharynx | 2004 | 77 | 116 | 193 | 40% | 64 | 33% |
| | 2005 | 84 | 139 | 223 | 38% | 66 | 30% |
| | 2006 | 81 | 140 | 221 | 37% | 99 | 45% |
| Major salivary glands | 2004 | 14 | 429 | 443 | 3% | 5 | 1% |
| | 2005 | 16 | 423 | 439 | 4% | 7 | 2% |
| | 2006 | 20 | 460 | 480 | 4% | 22 | 5% |
| Thyroid gland | 2004 | 15 | 1429 | 1444 | 1% | 17 | 1% |
| | 2005 | 16 | 1536 | 1552 | 1% | 30 | 2% |
| | 2006 | 31 | 1673 | 1704 | 2% | 26 | 2% |

Table 3: Analysis of linked records of NCDR and HES for chemotherapy (CT)

A total of 2,346 patients were recorded on NCDR as having chemotherapy from the total of 22,804. A total of 2,096 patients were recorded on HES as having chemotherapy treatment that matched the total NCDR dataset of 2,346. Table 2 shows the matching HES records in the last two columns. The percentage figure for HES shows the percentage of HES records with chemotherapy as a percentage of the total NCDR (Head & Neck) dataset.

In 2004 and 2005, the number and percentage of matched HES records with chemotherapy was generally slightly lower than the number and percentage of NCDR records with chemotherapy.

Recording in HES has increased over the 3 years and in 2006 the number and percentage of chemotherapy records in HES was higher than in the NCDR for some cancer types (e.g. oropharynx).

Conclusions

- There appears to be generally good agreement between the DAHNO audit and the NCDR on the percentage of head and neck cancer patients recorded as receiving chemotherapy and radiotherapy treatments within six months of diagnosis. The notable exception was oral cavity cancers, where the level of recording of chemotherapy and radiotherapy treatments in DAHNO was significantly lower than the level of recording in the NCDR.
- Overall there was reasonably good agreement between HES and NCDR on the recording of chemotherapy treatments for head and neck cancers. Recording of chemotherapy data on HES appears to have improved over the time period.
- HES was used as a source of chemotherapy data by some cancer registries during this time period. Registries should agree a standard approach to the use of chemotherapy data from HES.

Appendix 1

Specification for data extraction

ICD-10 codes used to extract data

| Cancer Type | ICD-10 codes |
|-----------------------|--|
| Larynx Oral Cavity | C10.1 and C32 C00.3, C00.4, C02, C03, C04, C05.0, C05.8, C05.9 and C06 |
| Oropharynx | C01, C05.1, C05.2, C09, C10.0, C10.2, C10.3, C10.8 and C10.9 |
| Hypopharynx | C12 and C13 |
| Nasopharynx | C11 |
| Major salivary glands | C07, C08.0 and C08.1 |
| Thyroid gland | C73 |

Appendix 2

OPCS4 codes used for chemotherapy treatments

OPCS4 code Procedure T133 Introduction of cytotoxic substances to pleural cavity (used here, but not used by ICBR) T482 Introduction of cytotoxic substances to peritoneal cavity (used here, but not used by ICBR) X352 Intravenous chemotherapy X353 Intravenous immunotherapy (not included in this extract, but usually used by ICBR) X373 Intramuscular chemotherapy X384 Subcutaneous chemotherapy X701 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 1 X702 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 2 X703 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 3 X704 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 4 X705 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 5 X708 Other specified procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm in Bands 1-5 X709 Unspecified procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm in Bands 1-5 X711 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 6 X712 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 7 X713 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 8 X714 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 9 X715 Procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm for regimens in Band 10 X718 Other specified procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm in Bands 6-10 X719 Unspecified procurement of drugs for chemotherapy for neoplasm in Bands 6-10 X721 Delivery of complex chemotherapy for neoplasm including prolonged infusional treatment at first attendance X722 Delivery of complex parenteral chemotherapy for neoplasm at first attendance X723 Delivery of simple parenteral chemotherapy for neoplasm at first attendance X724 Delivery of subsequent element of cycle of chemotherapy for neoplasm X728 Other specified delivery of chemotherapy for neoplasm X729 Unspecified delivery of chemotherapy for neoplasm X731 Delivery of exclusively oral chemotherapy for neoplasm X738 Other specified delivery of oral chemotherapy for neoplasm X739 Unspecified delivery of oral chemotherapy for neoplasm