



Peer Review & Clinical Lines of Enquiry – Head & Neck

Head & Neck SSCRG Workshop May 2011

Peer Review Preliminary Results 2010 - 2011

Measure	Topic	IV Overall Percentage	Number of teams G EV (% of total)	Number of teams A EV (% of total)	Number of teams R EV (% of total)
10-1A-2i	Network Board	88%	59%	19%	22%
10-1C-1i	NSSG	90%	55%	15%	30%
10-1D-1i	Head & Neck Locality	77%	52%	23%	25%
10-2I-1	UAT & UAT/Thyroid MDT	83%	44%	34%	22%
10-21-2	Thyroid only	80%	52%	28%	20%

Peer Review Preliminary Results Immediate Risks & Serious Concerns

Measure	Topic	Immediate Risks	Serious Concerns
10-1A-2i	Network Board	3 (11% of teams)	8 (29% of teams)
10-1C-1i	NSSG	3 (11% of teams)	8 (29% of teams)
10-1D-1i	Head & Neck Locality	0 (0% of teams)	6 (6% of teams)
10-2I-1	UAT & UAT/Thyroid MDT	4 (7% of teams)	31 (56% of teams)
10-21-2	Thyroid only	4 (16% of teams)	11 (44% of teams)

Reducing the Burden of Peer Review on the NHS

- Amnesty in 2011 Head & Neck teams performing at 85% or above and without IR or SC will not be required to SA in 2011
 - Applies to 6 UAT & UAT/Thyroid MDTs (21%)
 - Applies to 4 Thyroid only MDTs (24%)
 - Applies to 33 Head & Neck Services in total (21%)
- Targeted Peer Review Visits Visits will only be undertaken where a team/service:
 - Falls into the risk criteria
 - Where there is considered to be an opportunity for significant learning
 - As part of a small stratified random sample to assure public confidence in SA and IV



Development of Clinical Lines of Enquiry

Increasing focus on addressing key clinical issues and clinical outcomes

 Clinical indicators developed in conjunction with SSCRGs

 Developmental, intended to improve data collection and outcomes

Principles of Clinical Lines of Enquiry

- The data should available nationally or readily available locally. Not intended to require further audit in themselves
- Metrics which can be used as a lever for change and for reflection on clinical practice and outcomes
- They may be lines of enquiry around clinical practice, or around collection of data items, rather than enquiry focused on the data itself
- May cover key stages along the patient pathway, including diagnosis, treatment and follow up
- There should be some consensus on national benchmarking data which can be used to inform the discussions



Progress to Date

- Progress to date
 - Pilot with Lung and Breast complete feedback positive, formal evaluation to commence
 - CLEs developed in Upper GI, Gynaecology,
 Colorectal and Head & Neck for implementation
 2011 2012 reviews
 - New measures to be developed for Sarcoma,
 Brain and CNS, Skin and Urology

Head & Neck Indicators for Clinical Lines of Enquiry - Nationally available data (1)

Metric	BAHNO Standard	
Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer discussed at MDT*	100% of patients with a new or recurrent head and neck cancer diagnosis should be discussed by an MDT prior to treatment, and this discussion should be documented	
Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer discussed at MDT* where recorded T, N, M staging category is evident	TNM staging in 100% (includes TxNxMx)	
Percentage of cases of head and neck cancer* where the interval from biopsy to reporting is less than 10 days	Biopsy reporting-90% by 7 days from receipt	

^{*}relates to cancers of the larynx, oral cavity, oropharynx, nasopharynx, hypopharynx and major salivary glands



Head & Neck Indicators for Clinical Lines of Enquiry - Nationally available data (2)

Metric	BAHNO Standard
Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer* where confirmed seen by a clinical nurse specialist prior to the commencement of treatment	100% of patients should be seen by a specialist head and neck liaison nurse (e.g. Macmillan), whose contact details should be provided to all patients at the earliest opportunity in all cases.
Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer* confirmed as having any pre-operative/ pre-treatment (includes radio and chemo-therapy)dietetic assessment	100% of patients should be seen by a dietician prior to the commencement of treatment



^{*}relates to cancers of the larynx, oral cavity, oropharynx, nasopharynx, hypopharynx and major salivary glands

Head & Neck Indicators for Clinical Lines of Enquiry – Local data

- Percentage of cases undergoing laryngectomy who are offered choice of primary surgical voice restoration by a speech and language therapist prior to laryngectomy being undertaken
- Percentage of cases of head and neck cancer* confirmed as having any pre-operative/ pre-treatment dental assessment
- Percentage of cases of head and neck cancer* that have undergone surgery where resective pathology is discussed in the MDT

Resources for Clinical Lines of Enquiry www.cquins.nhs.uk

National Cancer Action Team
Part of the National Cancer Programme



Evidence Guide: Head and Neck Clinical Lines of Enquiry

1. Rational

In 2008 the SHAs review of the National Cancer Peer Raview (NCPR) programme concluded that there should be a stronger focus on clinical issues in order to make necessarily and the substant the continued support and involvement of clinical issues it and involvement of clinical issues full continued continued in the series of the substantial interview process in order to facilitate that focus.

The introduction of these lines of enquiry is also important in order to align Peer Review with further developments since the publication of the measures, for example the increase in the range of possible diagnostic and treatment guidance issued by NiCE; to support the overall aims of improving Outcomex. A Strategy for Cancer and keep in stepsyth cancer services.

2. Clinical Indicators

Both national and local indicators have been developed for head and neck and are outlined below.

The National Indicators chosen are taken from the National Head and Neck Cancer Audit, Discussions with the Site Specific Clinical Reference Group (SSCRG) Chair, members of the SSCRG, National Cancer Intelligence Network (NCIN) and NCPR have resulted in the development of the following indicators:

- Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer discussed at MDT*
- Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer discussed at MDT* where recorded T, N, M staging category is evident

- Percentage of cases of head and neck cancer* where the interval from biopsy to reporting is less
- Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer* where confirmed seen by a clinical nurse specialist prior to the commencement of treatment
- Percentage of new cases of head and neck cancer* confirmed as having any pre-operative/ pretreatment (includes radio and chemo-therapy) dietetic assessment

The local indicators examine subpopulations of patients or areas where the national submission requires minimal local collation of information, which can be then reviewed by the MDT and actions developed accordingly.

- Percentage of cases undergoing laryngectomy who are offered choice of primary surgical voice restoration by a speech and language therapist prior to laryngectomy being undertaken
- Percentage of cases of head and neck cancer* confirmed as having any pre-operative/ pretreatment dental assessment
- Percentage of cases of head and neck cancer* that have undergone surgery where resective pathology is discussed in the MDT
- relates to cancers of the larynx, oral cavity, oropharynx, nasopharynx, hypopharynx and major salivary glands.

3. Data

The National indicators chosen are taken from the National Head and Neck Cancer Audit which will facilitate the use of the DAHNO database as the source of data. Data for patients with a date of

diagnosis to 31st October 2009-was published by the Information Centre, as the 5th National Comparative Head and Neck Cancer Audit report in 2010. Data for patients with a date of diagnosis to 31st October 2010-will be published on-line by the Information Centre in Maylune 2010). All reports are available via their website view. Lin facility of the 10 per 1

4. Clinical Lines of Enquiry

A briefing sheet on the relevance of these headline indicators with be available both to the Zonal National Concer Peer Review teams and to MDTs and NSSGs. This will structure the discussions on the data on a Peer Review visit which will take place at the time of the formal review against the Manual for Cancer Services and also acts a guide for those teams completing self-assessment reports.

As part of self-assessment, MDTs and MSGs should include a commentary on the clinical indicators in their Annual Report, and in the self assessment report under the Key Theme 'Clinical outcomes/Indicators'. A commentary on the clinical lines of enquiry will also be included in the Peer Review reports.

this will be provided to both the review teams and the service being reviewed to enable discussion against the clinical indicators. If local data is required to enable discussion against the clinical indicators this may be uploaded, where relevant, as an appendix in the Key Evidence Document section ("Clinical outcomes/indicators") on the Cancer Qualify improvement Network System

Where national data is available



