

Quality of Life of Cancer Survivors in England

Findings from the Department of Health 2011
Patient Reported Outcomes Measure (PROM) Survey &
A Look Ahead to the roll-out of Gynae PROMs in 2013

Jo Partington, Survivorship Lead; DH 23rd November 2012

In strict confidence



Background

- Growing prevalence of cancer 3.2% per annum; 3.4 million by 2030
- Unmet needs
- Late morbidity recognised little population based data for adults
- Growing literature on late effects
- Very few cancer PROMs for survivors
- Addressing these issues should benefit the health and social care economy in the future
- Aim to be able to compare quality of health of those living with, and beyond, cancer with other long term conditions



Objective of Cancer PROMS programme

- To embed routine collection of PROMs within core business of cancer services
- By using PROMs, to
- Describe the quality of survival not just about extending life.
- Identify the consequences of survival/treatment and impact on function in a bid to continuously improve services.
- Enable the provision of appropriate health & social care services.
- Compare outcomes by service provider organisations



Participants in 2011 pilot PROMs

- From 4 cancer groups (breast, colorectal, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and prostate)
- 4 time points (1, 2, 3 and 5 years post diagnosis)
- Patients were identified from 3 cancer registries ECRIC,WMCIU and TCR
- Around 300 patients with each cancer, and at each time point were surveyed (total 4992)
- Around 200 patients from each cancer/time group responded, with 3300 respondents in total (response rate 68%, plus high completion rates)





2011 PROM Survey content

- Demographics and treatment details (self report)
- Disease status (remission, relapse, uncertain)
- Long term conditions
- Generic quality of life (EQ5D)
- Physical activity
- Social Difficulties Inventory
- Experience of care
- Psychological issues
- Work status
- Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACT) tumour specific questions

Average – c.70 questions (breast 66;prostate 70;NHL 71;colorectal 72)







Demographics of all respondents (n=3300)

- Median age 69 years (range 36-102)
- Males 52%; Females 46%; Missing 2%
- White 90.4%; Non-white 6.3%; Missing 3.3%
- Socioeconomic status
 - Least deprived 25% (n=826)
 - Most deprived 12% (n=399)
- Almost equal numbers by time since diagnosis
- Presence of long term conditions:
 - Yes = 55%; No = 38%; Not reported = 7%
- Remission status (self-report):
 - Remission 67%; Disease present 17%; Uncertain 17%





Prostate: Quality of life (EQ5D): Individual domains

	No problems	Slight problems	Moderate problems	Severe/ extreme problems
Mobility	60% <u>62%</u>	16% <u>15%</u>	14% <u>11%</u>	8% <u>8%</u>
Self care	81% <u>82%</u>	8% <u>9%</u>	6% <u>5%</u>	2% <u>1%</u>
Usual activities	57% <u>61.5%</u>	19% <u>17%</u>	14% <u>12%</u>	8% <u>5%</u>
Pain	51% <u>61%</u>	28% <u>19.5%</u>	13% <u>12%</u>	6% <u>4.5%</u>
Anxiety/depression	61% <u>65%</u>	24% 21%	10% <u>9%</u>	3% <u>1%</u>

(Figures rounded. Missing around 3-7% on each item)

Merged cohort data in normal font Prostate data in Bold





Quality of life: EQ5D summary score

	"High"	"Medium"	"Low"	Missing
Total (n=3300)	32	53	10	5
Breast (208)	24	60	9	7
Colorectal (255)	31	54	11	3
NHL (247)	32	51	13	4
Prostate (347)	40	45	9	6
Patients in remission (n=2227))			
No LTC (848)	51	44	2	2
1 LTC (691)	36	55	6	3
2+ LTCs (688)	19	61	17	3
Patients not in remission (n=8	22)			
No LTC (233)	34	56	6	4
1 LTC (277)	23	63	9	5
2+ LTCs (312)	12	53	30	5





Factors associated with poor quality of life (EQ5D) – all respondents

- Number of concurrent long term conditions (OR No LTC = 1; 1 LTC = 1.8; 2+ LTCs = 7.3)
- Disease status
 (OR remission = 1; Recurrence = 4.7; Not sure = 2.5)
- Deprivation
 (OR Quintile 1 = 1; Quintiles 2-4 = N/S; Quintiles 5 = 3.0)
- Age (65-74 year olds have best QOL)
- Physical activity
 (The more, the better QOL)
- Ethnicity and Time since diagnosis (NOT significant)





Fear of recurrence and dying – all respondents

Time since diagnosis	Fear of recurrence (%)	Fear of dying (%)
1 year	51.5	30.2
2 years	48.3	27.9
3 years	46.7	26.6
5 years	42.5	22.2
Total	47.3	26.8





Physical activity – all respondents

 Overall: 21.4% of respondents reported taking 30 minutes of physical activity at least five days a week (in line with Government recommended level), but 29.8% reported doing no physical activity



_	30 mins x 5	No activity
Prostate	29.0%	27.4%
Colorectal	20.2%	31.5%
Breast	19.0%	27.4%
NHL	16.5%	33.5%

 Higher physical activity levels were associated with better QOL.





Quality of life – Comparisons with other studies

	Median age	"High"	"Medium"	"Low"
Cancer pilot PROMS				
- All patients	69 years	32%	53%	11%
- In remission & no LTC	63 years	53%	44%	2%
Health Survey England	48 years	54%	39%	7%
	≥ 45 years	45%	46%	9%
GP Patient Survey				
- All patients	48 years	51%	42%	8%
- No LTC	39 years	74%	25%	1%





Generic Findings

- Measuring quality of life in cancer survivors in the community, on a population-basis who are 1-5 years post diagnosis, is feasible and acceptable to patients. "Proof of Principle" established
- The 2011 pilot study is the largest European survey of cancer survivors involving multiple cancer types, at defined time points, post diagnosis – to date.
- On the summary EQ5D measure
 - Around one third are scored as having 'high' QOL
 - Around one half are scored as having 'medium' QOL
 - Around 10% are scored as having 'low' QOL





Generic Findings (2)

- QOL changed surprisingly little over time since diagnosis (though fear of recurrence/dying decreased)
- QOL is closely associated with disease status and presence of other long term conditions (LTC)
- Amongst those who were in remission with no LTC
 - Over half had 'high' QOL
 - 44% had 'medium' QOL
 - Only 2% had 'low' QOL
- Comparisons with normative data from other surveys using EQ5D are difficult because of age differences





Prostate - specific Findings

- Respondents who had surgery reported a better QoL
- Those who did more physical activity reported a better QoL
- 38.5% reported some degree of urinary leakage, significantly associated with poorer QoL
- 12.9% reported difficulty controlling their bowels
- 58.4% reported being unable to have an erection
- 11% reported significant difficulty in having or maintaining an erection
- Surprisingly, erectile dysfunction and difficulty controlling bowels were not significantly associated with QoL





Next Steps

- Follow up, longitudinal survey of the 2011 respondents ended. 85% response rate overall.
- 4 x pilot pelvic cancer PROMs developed bladder, cervix, ovary and womb cancers.
- 1 x national bowel cancer PROM to be rolled out in early 2013





Gynae PROMs content

- Demographics and treatment details (self report)
- Disease status (remission, relapse, uncertain)
- Long term conditions
- Generic quality of life (EQ5D)
- Items from the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer
- FACT
- Physical activity
- Social Difficulties Inventory
- Experience of care
- Psychological issues
- Work status
- Length of surveys bladder = 100; womb, ovarian, cervical = 106





The Gynae PROMs...

- NIGB approval gained
- Involvement of patient volunteers and charities to test length, clarity of purpose and language of surveys.
- Licence agreements with FACIT & EORTC in train
- 3rd party survey company about to be appointed, following an open competition process.
- 1250 cohort for each tumour group, at 1,2,3 and 5 years post diagnosis, extracted from all 8 cancer registries.
- Fieldwork will be from January March 2013
- Final report early April 2013





Thank You for Listening

Any Questions?

Contact details

"Jo.Partington@dh.gsi.gov.uk"

