



Using information to improve quality & choice

# Supporting choice for colon and rectal cancer patients



### Patients' right to choose



Using information to improve quality & choice

"You have the right to make choices about your NHS care and to information to support these choices."

The NHS Constitution



### What information sources might be useful to cancer patients?



- Cancer Patient Experience Survey
  - National and trust based reports or overview spreadsheet.
- National Cancer Peer Review
  - Network and trust reports aimed at an expert audience.
- NHS Choices
  - Lots of information, but what do I do about it?
- Cancer registries
  - Numbers of patients treated, treatment rates, outcomes.
- Macmillan
  - Information on Macmillan Quality Environment Mark, Information Centres, Support Groups, etc.

### Service profiles collate much of this information



Using information to improve quality & choice

ection		Jo Bloggs NHS Trust		rust/MD		the National Car	Action Tean	"	Statistical significance can not be assessed England mean  Lowest Eng. 25th Eng. Eng. 75th	national interest	onal cancer ligence network	_
ection			OCIOOL I						in Eng. Percentile mean Percentile mone			
ection					Proportio			Trust	trates or proportion compared to England	mean		
		Indicator	No. of patients/ cases or value	Trust	Lower 95% confide nce	Upper 95% confide nce	England		Range		Source	Peri
Size		Number of new patients treated per year	90					0%	•	100%	Cancerwalts	2010
		Patients aged 70+	50	50%	49%	52%	60%	0%	•	100%	eto.	eto
9 1 -	3	Patients with recorded ethnicity	89	89%	86%	92%	94%	0%	•	100%		
92	4	Patients recorded as non white-British	15	15%	15%	15%	16%	0%	•	100%		
used on new patient treated per year)	5	Patients who are income deprived	Quintile 2	17%	16%	18%	18%	0%		100%		
3 6 5		Male patients	2	2%	2%	2%	7%	0%	•	100%		
72		Patients with a registered cancer stage	70	70%	68%	72%	77%	0%		100%		
Pased Inc.		Patients with a Stage A or B disease at diagnosis	40	40%	39%	41%	45%	0%	•	100%		
-		Patients with a Charlson co-morbidity index >0	34	34%	33%	35%	38%	0%	0	100%		
	10	The specialist team has full membership	Yes					0%		100%		
ž.	11	Proportion of peer review indicators met		82%				0%		100%		
Team	12	Peer review: are there immediate risks?	No					0%		100%		
Team	13	Peer review: are there serious concerns	No					0%		100%		
n .	14	Patients reporting good availability of a CNS	92	92%	89%	95%	99%	0%	•	100%		
	15	Surgeons not managing 20+ cases per year	4	40%	39%	41%	45%	0%		100%		
hput	16	Number of two week wait referrals for cancer	42					0%		100%		
2 2 [	17	Number and proportion of admissions that are emergencies	120	48%	47%	49%	52%	0%	•	100%		
= =	18	Patients referred via the screening service	17	17%	16%	18%	19%	0%		100%		
	19	TWW referrals with suspected cancer seen within 2 weeks	37	88%	85%	91%	93%	0%	•	100%		
E 8	20	TWW referrals treated within 62 days	41	98%	95%	101%	103%	0%	•	100%		
times	21	TWW referrals diagnosed with cancer	7	7%	7%	7%	14%	0%	•	100%		
5	22	Patients treated within 31 days of agreeing treatment plan	91	91%	88%	94%	93%	0%	•	100%		
	23	Surgical cases treated laparoscopically	12	12%	12%	12%	21%	0%		100%		
8	24	Patients resected for liver metastases	8	8%	8%	8%	16%	0%	-	100%		
₽ .	25	Patients undergoing a major surgical resection	29	32%	31%	33%	38%	0%		100%		
Practice	26	Mean length of stay for elective admissions		4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	0	•	10		
	27	Mean length of stay for emergency admissions		5.7	5.5	5.9	5.7	0	- O	10		
>	28	Surgical patients readmitted as an emergency within 28 days	4	4%	4%	4%	10%	0%	•	100%		
교회	29	New to follow-up outpatients appointments		76%	74%	78%	82%	0%	•	100%		
E 8		Patients treated surviving at one year	90	90%	87%	93%	91%	0%	•	100%		
å		Surgical patients who die within 30 days	1	196	196	1%	1%	0%	•	100%		
-		Patients reporting being treated with respect and dignity	92	92%	1.74	1.70	- 70	0%		100%		
		Cancer patient experience survey questions scored as "green"	3	87%				0%		100%		
5 iii		Cancer patient experience survey questions scored as green  Cancer patient experience survey questions scored as "red"	3	4%				0%		100%		$\vdash$

But most isn't currently in the public domain...

# What NCIN and Macmillan each bring





- Knowledge of what information exists
- 'Information intermediary' able to broker access
- Advice on presentation and interpretation

# WE ARE MACMILLAN. CANCER SUPPORT

- Trusted brand; where patients will go for support
- Interest in promoting and supporting patient choice
- Access to networks of patients and carers

#### What are we doing?



- 1. Survey patients and carers what information would be useful? What do we have?
- Design pilot website to present information about particular hospitals and compare hospitals.
- 3. Test pilot website with a range of interested parties (including today).
- 4. Reflect on feedback and, if supported, improve and expand the site.

#### Today...



- Talk you through the information included in the pilot.
- Get your feedback on:
  - the information included / missing
  - how we plan to present it
  - how we make it most useful for patients
- Include this in our review of the pilot and plans for the future.





Using information to improve quality & choice

### Any comments or questions?

(we will circulate a link when the pilot website is up and running)

